

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNTY BOARD

State of Minnesota }  
County of Kanabec }  
Office of the County Coordinator

**March 25, 2009**

The Kanabec County Board of Commissioners met at 6:30pm on Wednesday, March 25, 2009 pursuant to adjournment with the following Board Members present: Kim Smith, Kathi Ellis, Les Nielsen, Roger Crawford and Kevin Troupe.

The Chairperson led the assembly in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Action #1 - It was moved by Kim Smith, seconded by Kevin Troupe, and carried unanimously to approve the agenda as presented.

Action #2 – It was moved by Roger Crawford, seconded by Les Nielsen, and carried unanimously to approve the March 18, 2009 minutes of the Kanabec County Board of Commissioners as presented.

Action #4 – It was moved by Kim Smith, seconded by Kevin Troupe, and carried unanimously to approve a **Consent Agenda** including all of the following actions:

---

## **Resolution #4a - 03/25/09**

Witaker Claim

**BE IT RESOLVED** to approve payment of a claim totaling \$1,830.36 on emergency management grant funds to Jerome Whitaker for the installation of radios and antennas in the Incident Command Vehicle.

---

## **Resolution #4b - 03/25/09**

Transit Claims

**WHEREAS** the Transit uses volunteer drivers for many public purposes,  
and

**WHEREAS** these drivers are reimbursed for incurred expenses in  
accordance with County Policy and public law, and

**WHEREAS** the Transit Department Manager recommends approval of  
these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to approve the following driver expense claims for  
reimbursement, all of which are property documented:

<b>DRIVER</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>
Annette Majeski	136.13
Barb Maul	31.35
Barb Peterson	237.60
Betty Geisthardt	220.98
Beverly Gustafson	117.62
Bill Hood	468.60
Bob Hoefert	1,274.92
Charlyne Grant	733.90
Gary Nelson	775.60
Jean Newgard	395.10
Jennifer Leerssen	177.65
Joy Morrell	215.62
Judy Colburn	268.95
Karen Luberda	707.60
Linda Nelson	531.85
Mary Lou Moriarity	308.37
Ray Zamora	878.85
Roger Homan	53.90
Rollie Pieper	1,051.76
Ronette Nelson	297.26
Steve McIlhargey	217.80
Sy Tadych	727.40
Ted Lindgren	678.58
Vonda Terpstra	74.80
Wallace Johnson	115.50
Willard Landreville	676.64
Total	\$11,374.33

**Resolution #4c - 03/25/09**

Hospital Construction Claims

**WHEREAS** the Hospital Administrator recommends approval of certain construction claims, and

**WHEREAS** the Kanabec Hospital Board has approved payment of these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to approve the claims paid on Hospital Construction Funds:

<b>VENDOR NAME</b>	<b>GROSS</b>
KNUTSON CONSTRUCTION	13,224.00
MODERN WINDOW SHADE C	360.00

TOTAL

13,584.00

---

**6:35pm** – Architects Bruce Omtvedt and Mark Schneider of Klein McCarthy along with Construction Manager Larry Filippi with the Contegrity Group met with the county board to present an update of the jail project. Finances and progress were discussed. Generally speaking, the project is on schedule and within budget. Also present were Chief Deputy Sheriff Robert Jensen and Jail Administrator Joanne Nelson. The update was discussed.

Action #5 – It was moved by Kim Smith, seconded by Roger Crawford, and carried unanimously to approve the following resolution:

**Resolution #5 - 03/25/09**

4-H Summer Assistant

**WHEREAS** the county has employed a 4-H Summer Assistant in past years, and

**WHEREAS** the board did budget for this position in 2009, and

**WHEREAS** the board desires to fill this position, and

**WHEREAS** in 2008 this position was authorized at \$8.20 per hour, or \$8.50 per hour if the person had prior experience as a Kanabec County 4-H Summer Assistant, and

**WHEREAS** it is the recommendation of the County Personnel Director to rehire the 4-H Summer Assistant from 2008;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the County Board re-appoints Ashton Stenberg to the position of Kanabec County 4-H Summer Assistant for 2009 at a pay rate of \$8.50 per hour, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the hours of work for this position be limited to those budgeted.

---

Action #6 – It was moved by Les Nielsen, seconded by Kevin Troupe, and carried unanimously to approve a **Consent Agenda** including all of the following actions:

---

**Resolution #6a – 03/25/09**

Bruce's Contracting Claim

**WHEREAS** Bruce's Contracting, Inc. is the Category #2—Concrete—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Bruce’s Contracting for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Bruce’s Contracting Application #9 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$260,199.30
Total Completed to date	= \$245,227.08
5% Retainage	= \$ 12,261.35
Less previous payments	= <u>\$229,278.26</u>
Current Due	= \$ 3,687.47

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$3,687.47 on Jail Bond Funds to Bruce’s Contracting, Inc.

---

**Resolution #6b – 03/25/09**

Eagle Construction Claim

**WHEREAS** Eagle Construction Co., is the Category #6—Steele Erection—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Eagle Construction for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Eagle Construction’s Application #7 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$167,757.00
Total Completed to date	= \$167,057.00
5% Retainage	= \$ 8,352.85
Less previous payments	= <u>\$155,107.35</u>
Current Due	= \$ 3,596.80

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$3,596.80 on Jail Bond Funds to Eagle Construction Co.

---

**Resolution #6c – 03/25/09**

Boser Construction Claim

**WHEREAS** Boser Construction, Inc. is the Category #7—Carpentry—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Boser Construction, Inc for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Boser Construction Application #9 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$111,875.00
Total Completed to date	= \$ 74,351.50
5% Retainage	= \$ 3,717.58
Less previous payments	= <u>\$ 68,083.17</u>
Current Due	= \$ 2,550.75

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$2,550.75 on Jail Bond Funds to Boser Construction, Inc.

---

### **Resolution #6d – 03/25/09**

#### Horizon Roofing Claim

**WHEREAS** Horizon Roofing, Inc. is the Category #9—Roofing—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Horizon Roofing for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Horizon Roofing Application #7 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$362,398.00
Total Completed to date	= \$352,438.52
5% Retainage	= \$ 17,621.93
Less previous payments	= <u>\$315,143.49</u>
Current Due	= \$ 19,673.10

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$19,673.10 on Jail Bond Funds to Horizon Roofing, Inc.

---

## **Resolution #6e – 03/25/09**

### Kendell Doors & Hardware Claim

**WHEREAS** Kendell Doors & Hardware is the Category #11—Standard Doors/Frames/Hardware—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Kendell Doors & Hardware for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Kendell Doors & Hardware Application #3 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$55,841.82
Total Completed to date	= \$25,068.95
5% Retainage	= \$ 1,253.45
Less previous payments	= <u>\$10,155.24</u>
Current Due	= \$13,660.26

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$13,660.26 on Jail Bond Funds to Kendell Doors & Hardware.

---

## **Resolution #6f – 03/25/09**

### Heartland Glass Claim

**WHEREAS** Heartland Glass Co., Inc. is the Category #12—Aluminum Windows, Skylights, Glazing—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Heartland Glass for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Heartland Glass Application #4 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$38,300.00
Total Completed to date	= \$37,300.00
5% Retainage	= \$ 1,865.00
Less previous payments	= <u>\$31,443.06</u>
Current Due	= \$ 3,991.94

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$3,991.94 on Jail Bond Funds to Heartland Glass Co., Inc.

---

## **Resolution #6g – 03/25/09**

### Regal Contractors Claim

**WHEREAS** Regal Contractors, Inc is the Category #14—Gypsum Board—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from the Regal Contractors for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Regal Contractors Application #6 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$160,477.00
Total Completed to date	= \$155,172.00
5% Retainage	= \$ 7,758.60
Less previous payments	= <u>\$134,624.50</u>
Current Due	= \$ 12,788.90

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$12,788.90 on Jail Bond Funds to Regal Contractors, Inc.

---

## **Resolution #6h – 03/25/09**

### Mid-State Tile Claim

**WHEREAS** Mid-State Tile Co. is the Category #15—Tile—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from the Mid-State Tile Co. for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Mid-State Tile Co. Application #1 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$10,550.00
Total Completed to date	= \$10,050.00
5% Retainage	= \$ 502.50
Less previous payments	= \$ <u>0</u>
Current Due	= \$ 9,547.50

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$9,547.50 on Jail Bond Funds to Mid-State Tile Co.

---

**Resolution #6i – 03/25/09**  
Multiple Concepts Interiors Claim

**WHEREAS** Multiple Concepts Interiors is the Category #17—Flooring—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Multiple Concepts Interiors for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Multiple Concepts Interiors Application #1 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$49,006.00
Total Completed to date	= \$31,123.53
5% Retainage	= \$ 1,556.18
Less previous payments	= \$ <u>0</u>
Current Due	= \$29,567.35

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$29,567.35 on Jail Bond Funds to Multiple Concepts Interiors.

---

**Resolution #6j – 03/25/09**  
Rich Prairie Painters Claim

**WHEREAS** Rich Prairie Painters is the Category #18—Painting—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Rich Prairie Painters for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Rich Prairie Painters Application #5 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$97,578.00
Total Completed to date	= \$38,262.74
5% Retainage	= \$ 1,913.14
Less previous payments	= <u>\$20,511.34</u>
Current Due	= \$15,838.26

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$15,838.26 on Jail Bond Funds to Rich Prairie Painters.

---

**Resolution #6k – 03/25/09**

Bartley Sales Co. Claim

**WHEREAS** Bartley Sales Co., Inc. is the Category #19—Specialties—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Bartley Sales Co. for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Bartley Sales Co. Building Application #3 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$30,834.00
Total Completed to date	= \$ 5,832.05
5% Retainage	= \$ 291.60
Less previous payments	= <u>\$ 1,822.26</u>
Current Due	= \$ 3,718.19

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$3,718.19 on Jail Bond Funds to Bartley Sales Co., Inc.

---

**Resolution #6L – 03/25/09**

Pauly Jail Building Co. Claim

**WHEREAS** Pauly Jail Building Company, Inc. is the Category #20—Detention Equipment—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Pauly Jail Building Co for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Pauly Jail Building Co Application #11 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$1,621,955.59
Total Completed to date	= \$1,534,402.37
5% Retainage	= \$ 76,720.12
Less previous payments	= <u>\$1,450,738.84</u>

Current Due = \$ 6,943.41

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$6,943.41 on Jail Bond Funds to Pauly Jail Building Company, Inc.

---

### **Resolution #6m – 03/25/09**

#### Alexandria Plumbing & Heating Claim

**WHEREAS** Alexandria Plumbing & Heating is the Category #22—Mechanical/Plumbing—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Alexandria Plumbing & Heating for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Alexandria Plumbing & Heating Application #9 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$997,490.00
Total Completed to date	= \$960,990.00
5% Retainage	= \$ 48,049.50
Less previous payments	= <u>\$893,940.50</u>
Current Due	= \$ 19,000.00

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$19,000.00 on Jail Bond Funds to Alexandria Heating & Plumbing.

---

### **Resolution #6n – 03/25/09**

#### McDowall Company Claim

**WHEREAS** McDowall Company is the Category #23—HVAC—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from McDowall Company for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified McDowall Application #9 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$530,099.00
Total Completed to date	= \$497,275.20
5% Retainage	= \$ 24,863.76

Less previous payments = \$436,032.14  
Current Due = \$ 36,379.30

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$36,379.30 on Jail Bond Funds to McDowall Company.

---

### **Resolution #6o – 03/25/09**

#### Gartner Refrigeration Claim

**WHEREAS** Gartner Refrigeration Co. is the Category #24—Controls—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Gartner Refrigeration for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Gartner Refrigeration Application #5 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum = \$168,350.00  
Total Completed to date = \$ 77,923.50  
5% Retainage = \$ 3,896.17  
Less previous payments = \$ 45,122.35  
Current Due = \$ 28,904.98

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$28,904.98 on Jail Bond Funds to Gartner Refrigeration Co.

---

### **Resolution #6p – 03/25/09**

#### Willmar Electric Services Claim

**WHEREAS** Willmar Electric Service Corp is the Category #26—Electrical—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Willmar Electric Service for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Willmar Electric Service Application #9 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum = \$1,228,863.97  
Total Completed to date = \$ 853,330.72

5% Retainage	= \$ 42,666.54
Less previous payments	= \$ <u>626,600.70</u>
Current Due	= \$ 184,063.48

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$184,063.48 on Jail Bond Funds to Willmar Electric Service Corp.

### **Resolution #6q – 03/25/09**

#### Accurate Controls, Inc Claim

**WHEREAS** Accurate Controls is the Category #28—Security Electronics—contractor for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from Accurate Controls, Inc. for payment for worked performed on the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Manager has certified Accurate Controls Application #9 for approval as follows:

Contract Sum	= \$507,576.16
Total Completed to date	= \$124,887.54
5% Retainage	= \$ 6,244.38
Less previous payments	= \$ <u>83,952.47</u>
Current Due	= \$ 34,690.69

and,

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved this claim;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$34,690.69 on Jail Bond Funds to Accurate Controls, Inc.

### **Resolution #6r – 02/25/09**

#### General Jail Project Claims

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from various vendors for payment for the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the billing appears consistent with the service delivered and the documentation is in order, and

**WHEREAS** the Construction Committee has approved these claims;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of the following claims on Jail Bond

Funds:

Boser Construction	\$2,170.00
Ecowater Systems	\$ 88.17
Independent Testing Technologies	\$ 665.00
Knife Lake Sanitation	\$1,200.00
Minnesota Energy Resources	\$2,887.84
Sanitary Systems	\$ 255.60

---

### **Resolution #6s – 03/25/09**

#### Construction Manager's Claim

**WHEREAS** the board has received a claim from the Contegrity Group for payment for work performed in the construction phase the jail project, and

**WHEREAS** the work was performed in accordance with Board Resolution #14-09/26/07, the billing appears consistent with work performed and the documentation is in order;

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize payment of \$23,159.76 on Jail Bond Funds to the Contegrity Group.

---

**7:50pm** – County Engineer Greg Nikodym met with the county board to discuss matters concerning the Highway Department.

Action #7 – It was moved by Kim Smith, seconded by Kevin Troupe, and carried unanimously to approve the following resolution:

### **Resolution #7 – 03/25/09**

#### Signs and Supplies Purchase

**WHEREAS** the County Engineer has obtained the following quotes for the purchase of signs and supplies for the County Highway Department:

E.F. Anderson	\$ 9161.08
M-R Sign	\$ 8836.58
Newman Signs	\$ 9311.84
MD Solutions	\$10,614.42

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the County Engineer is directed to purchase signs and supplies from M-R Signs based on their low quote of \$8836.58

---

Action #8 – It was moved by Roger Crawford, seconded by Kim Smith, and carried unanimously to approve the following resolution:

**Resolution #8 – 03/25/09**  
Equipment Rental Bid Results

**WHEREAS** bids were received and opened on March 24, 2009 for Equipment Rental Rates and

**WHEREAS** Kanabec County may require various equipment throughout the year

**BE IT RESOLVED** to accept all bids as submitted, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Kanabec County Engineer is directed to utilize the bids as necessary based on the availability of the lowest responsible bid.

---

Action #9 – Les Nielsen introduced the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**Resolution 9 – 03/25/09**  
Roundabout

**WHEREAS** the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MNDOT) intends to convert the intersection at TH65 and TH107 to what is generally referred to as a ‘roundabout,’ and

**WHEREAS** roundabouts have proven to be successful in many circumstances, and

**WHEREAS** TH65 is a major highway with heavy traffic and TH107 is a lighter volume highway filling a collector role, and

**WHEREAS** the proposed roundabout is intended to improve safety at this intersection, and

**WHEREAS** a United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) report says:

Roundabouts are generally safer than other forms of intersection in terms of aggregate crash statistics for low and medium traffic capacity conditions. Injury crash rates for motor vehicle occupants are generally lower, although the proportion of single-vehicle crashes is typically higher. However, bicyclists and pedestrians are involved in a relatively higher proportion of injury accidents than they are at other intersections

**WHEREAS** this means that a roundabout intersection is safer for cars and their occupants though at increasing risk to pedestrians and bicycles, and

**WHEREAS** this leaves open to question the benefits of a high volume traffic conditions as encountered on Highway 65, and

**WHEREAS** the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) report also says:  
Such designs require that vehicles negotiate the roundabout through a series of turning maneuvers at low speeds, generally less than 30 km/h (20 mph).

**WHEREAS** roundabouts feature a design intended to ‘calm’ traffic, yet this situation would slow the high speed traffic to 20mph in heavy traffic conditions leading to extreme traffic delays and frustration among drivers on a roadway that is already well endowed with these characteristics, and

**WHEREAS** the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) report goes on to say:

Roundabouts tend to treat all movements at an intersection equally. Each approach is required to yield to circulating traffic, regardless of whether the approach is a local street or major arterial. In other words, all movements are given equal priority. This may result in more delay to the major movements than might otherwise be desired. This problem is most acute at the intersection of high-volume major streets with low- to medium-volume minor streets (e.g., major arterial streets with minor collectors or local streets). Therefore, the overall street classification system and hierarchy should be considered before selecting a roundabout (or stop-controlled) intersection. This limitation should be specifically considered on emergency response routes in comparison with other intersection types and control.

Some conditions may preclude a roundabout at a specific location. Intersections of a major arterial and a minor arterial or local road where an unacceptable delay to the major road could be created. Roundabouts delay and deflect all traffic entering the intersection and could introduce excessive delay or speed inconsistencies to flow on the major arterial.

**WHEREAS** these cautions by USDOT are fit exactly the circumstances of TH65 and TH107 intersection, and

**WHEREAS** it is the opinion of the board that the severe and all too frequent accidents at the TH65 and TH107 intersection are caused in large part by:

1. Volume of traffic
2. Confusing intersection design.
3. A highway of inadequate capacity that bunches traffic into slow moving convoys with only one four-lane opportunity to pass slower vehicles from Cambridge to McGregor. Frustrated drivers are spreading out and accelerating at exactly the point that confused drivers are trying to cross.

and,

**WHEREAS** a roundabout design would worsen traffic congestion and create more traffic which will have unconsidered secondary effects which would ripple across 40 miles of TH65;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the Kanabec County Board of Commissioners oppose the concept of a roundabout at the TH65 and TH107 intersection, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** to ask MNDOT to address the traffic and safety concerns at the intersection in a manner that includes the following:

- a. As a temporary measure, simplify the existing intersection design without losing the four lane passing opportunity
- b. As a longer term solution, design and build a limited access, overpass intersection that may be used by a future four lane road.

The motion for the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was duly seconded by Kim Smith and upon a vote being taken thereon, the following voted:

**IN FAVOR THEREOF:** Kevin Troupe, Roger Crawford, Les Nielsen, Kim Smith

**OPPOSED:** Kathi Ellis

**ABSTAIN:** None

whereupon the resolution was declared duly passed and adopted.

---

*Action #10* – It was moved by Les Nielsen, seconded by Kim Smith, and carried unanimously to approve the following resolution:

### **Resolution #10 - 03/25/09**

#### **Extension Office**

**WHEREAS** the Kanabec County 4-H office is currently located in the Mora Workforce Center located in the second floor of the Highway Department Building, and

**WHEREAS** crowding in the office space in the Workforce Center has rendered the space inadequate for continued 4-H operations, and

**WHEREAS** the Kanabec County Board of Commissioners support the 4-H Program and wish to continue its operation at this time, and

**WHEREAS** more efficient space appears to be available in the courthouse building;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that it is the intent of the Kanabec County Board of Commissioners to relocate the County Extension Office to the courthouse building, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** to direct the County Coordinator to take all necessary steps to move the Kanabec County Extension Office to Suite 181 in the courthouse as soon as practical.

---

**7:35pm** - The Chairperson then called for public comment three times. None responded.

**7:36pm** - The Chairperson closed public comment.

Action #11 – It was moved by Roger Crawford, seconded by Kevin Troupe, and carried unanimously to adjourn at 8:13pm to meet again in regular session on Wednesday, April 8, 2009 at 9:00am.

*Signed* \_\_\_\_\_

Chairperson of the Kanabec County Board of Commissioners,  
Kanabec County, Minnesota

*Attest:* \_\_\_\_\_

Alan B. Peterson, Kanabec County Coordinator